

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/632,215	08/03/2000	Krishna Balachandran	Balachandran-17-9-50	1173
7590 01/07/2005			EXAMINER	
TROUTMAN, SANDERS, MAYS & VALENTINE			WILSON, ROBERT W	
1660 INTERN	ATIONAL DRIVE			
SUITE 600, TYSONS CORNER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN V	A 22102		2661	

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
" Advisory Action	09/632,215	BALACHANDRAN ET AL.				
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert W Wilson	2661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 26 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
<ul> <li>1.   A Notice of Appeal was filed on 26 August 2004. Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2.   The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li> </ul>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	•				
<u> </u>		NOTE balanch				
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further	,	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•					
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>						
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to: <u>1-32</u> .  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22 and 27</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.⊠ The drawing correction filed on <u>23 <i>March</i> 2004</u> is a)□ approved or b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	Mar					
KENNETH VANDERPUYE PRIMARY EXAMINER						

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment filed on July 26, 2004 was not entered into the record because the amended claims raised new issues and required an additional search. Please note that entering of an amendment that is after final that raises new issues is not a right of the applicant. Filing an appeal brief arguing an amendment which has not been entered is not appropriate because the status of claims is incorrect.